Chemtrails

(Draft for Paper and Notes for Congressman Burgess)



Denton, America: On/about 10 Jan 2017 at 09:16 - gh

<u>Abstract</u>

This package contains notes, references, and the outline of my seven month Chemtrail study in hopes of seeing U.S. Congressman Michael Burgess. Am sending this to a very select group to maybe stir the pot and motivate some more attention to the aerial chemical threat. Please note this package is not conclusive, complete, or maybe cogent but it does 'light the fuse' on stratospheric aerosol injection.

Be my guest to review, remark, and repair these pages <u>for your study</u>...God knows we will need all the help we can get. Although there is some erstwhile, sentimental, and personal whining laced throughout, the task is to use facts that will hold up in court and maybe use material to convince the (us) bourgeois of the sulfur Geoengineering and Albedo enhancement. Go for it – do your best!

Thinking ahead, imagine what could <u>happen if this group of politicians met in a room with a pen</u>: President Trump, V/P Pence, Mattis (DOD), Tillerson (DOS), Perry (DOE), Pruitt (EPA), Pompeo (CIA), Enhofe, Cornyn, Cruz, and Burgess.

"In response to global warming, one suggested geoengineering response involves creating a cloud of particles in the stratosphere **to reflect some sunlight and cool Earth**...using airplanes...to put sulfur gases into the stratosphere may be feasible" (Robock, p. 162). [Emphasis mine].

This goal of geoengineering brings up some issues like "Ethics and governance of stratospheric geoengineering," to include "Governance of Deployment" and "Benefits and risks" (Robock, pp. 170-180).

Now consider the question of what the benefits and risks are of these chemicals being rained down on us?

April 26, 2017

[Thanks for even looking at this but you must read at least pages 2, 6, 8, and the last one!]

[Draft] Visit with Congressman Michael Burgess (District 26 – State of Texas)

Date: TBD

Dr. Burgess was at the March 4th Denton County Republican Convention and I was impressed with his auctioning off the original Executive Order on "Repeal and Replace Obama Care" signed by President Trump - and it came with the pen!

Will note we would not be having this meeting if Trump had not won and we would probably be finishing up our underground bunkers and worrying about issues like a national police force, two billion rounds of 9mm ammunition for the DHS, and seeing a liberal Supreme Court judge sworn in.

Most of us are proud to be where we are right now! The President's 'Draining the Swamp' may fit in with this paper.

The task

To discuss the issues of Stratospheric Aerosol Injection (SAI) in Geoengineering or specifically "Chemtrails" under the auspices of the United States Global Change Research Program (USGCRP) and the 13 contributing agencies; discuss my personal and professional comments of first hand observations; and finally discuss why the agencies are not forthcoming with the operation of SAI as evidenced by the daily, lingering, and ubiquitous Chemtrails.

Background

This discussion is to seek guidance on the issues of SAI and for me to suggest this seemingly covert, secret, and hush-hush operation may have more serious complications than rewards. I seek the understanding as a voting citizen noting with the famous words of General Mattis "I come in peace. I didn't bring artillery" – course, he can say that because he has four stars and is a Marine on the President's Cabinet.

About two years ago a friend told me about the government spraying toxic materials from high altitude airplanes and you could see the results by their contrails. It took 18 months for me to see and believe. On October 7th 2016 I saw my first airplane actually spraying. What caught my attention, suggesting it was not a contrail, was because it was in formation with another like airplane that was not spraying.

I saw CIA Director Brennan in a video speaking at the Council on Foreign Relations where he said "One [example of geoengineering] that has gained my personal attention is stratospheric aerosol injection." So, from my side of the argument, this convinces me this Geoengineering/Aerosol Injection/Chemtrails activity is taking place. [Re: p. 5).

In the interest of finding out about SAI and Chemtrails you are the only one to respond to my comments/questions. What little I want to add is not to try and prove Chemtrails exist, as this is mostly impossible, but to suggest we have some problems with this government operation, if it does exist, and maybe you can help me with some answers?

"Some of us will never know if these clouds are nature made or man sprayed."

Keywords: Climate Change, IPCC, Global Warming, Global Change, Geoengineering, Stratospheric Aerosol Injection, Chemtrails, Contrails, Albedo enhancement, Stratospheric sulfur Injections, Aerosol emission density, 95th Congress, Weather Modification — May 1978, Global Change Research Act of 1990, UN Agenda 21 — 1992, The NGCRP 2017, Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering by Alan Robock, The Greatest Hoax by Senator James Inhofe.

[Note: The strike outs show keywords for the bigger problem, and Hoax, of Global Warming and I will leave them here for reference showing relevance and not pertinence. The main points for this paper on Chemtrails are highlighted in yellow.]

History

A sample of the information on Stratospheric Aerosol Injection (SAI), some of the supporting documentation, and history is noted on page 6. For sure this report is not an exhaustive study or a complete history of chemtrails as most of this type of information is not available to the public. However, this report is not limited to just dot gov material or just only information published by the government.

Discussion

My studies, research, and observations have been since the October 2016 sighting of a transport type aircraft flying in formation with another like aircraft while one was spraying and the other one was not. It was odd to see the formation flying as this is a very rare phenomenon at that high altitude but to see the spraying was a first for me and conclusive evidence of stratospheric injection. (Again, not fact in a court of law. This would have to be proven.)

Since the October experience I have been watching what the government calls contrails and those of us convinced this is a spraying operation are calling the contrails what they really are and that is "chemtrails." Normal contrails do a number of things: (a) they start behind the aircraft, (b) the emission is from the engines, and (c) the contrails do not last very long.

Chemtrails, on the other hand: (a) start close to or right behind the engines, (b) sometimes emit from the full span of the wings (depends on the position of the nozzles, and (c) the chemtrails have been known to stretch for miles behind the spraying aircraft – as it wafts, drifts, or floats down being dispersed in the atmosphere. Note: the chemtrails will disperse from their signature 'poof-ing,' or ribbed, sectionalized trail, and form into what looks like cirrus clouds and then goes into the milky thin cover. The photo below is an example early one morning taken in my front yard.



by GLH 19 Dec 2016

Note the top, left to right, chemtrail showing the poof-ing or ribbed signature marks.

Other left to right chemtrails are visible on the bottom.

Higher chemtrails have wafted and expanded above the left-to-right ones and are forming the high cirrus.

Note: My friends have reported these chemtrail clouds from all over Texas, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Wyoming...of course not definitive but a sample of the issue we have all over the United States (and the world).

"Be Wise to Your Skies - LOOK UP!"

Pictures taken 24 March 2017 between 5:42 and 5:44 in my front yard. "And, note, not another cloud in the sky."





Pix 5 and 4.

The pictures go from left to right (5 to 1) showing north to south. Number one shows the edge over the office of Congressman Burgess in Lake Dallas, 2 and 3 are over head my house, and 5 and 4 are north east of my house.



Pix 3 actually, these are seen better from the bottom up...]





Pix 2 and 1

This Chemtrail 'non-nature made' cloud (only cloud in the sky at the time) is low and may be below 10,000 feet. (Sent to your Corinth office in March.) I will tout the issue of 'just what kind of a cloud is this?' 'Ever seen one like this before?'

The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) has a Cloud Atlas update at https://www.wmocloudatlas.org/home.html

The nice person on the Task Team at WMO, when I sent these pictures to him asking what kind they were his answer was: "Your photos show clouds that are formed by wave motion in the atmosphere. The particular feature would be classed as *undulatus*." [It would probably be a waste of time to query this definition – it was...]

As these are samples of chemtrails I must point out the government information reports "chemtrails is not a proper term" and then they add "Chemtrails do not exist."

Also from my research I use a flight watch program that will show the airplanes flying in the United States. I can for example see a airliner landing at DFW (I am under the path for the west complex although about 15 miles out) and I can bring the screen up to show the information of the ship that just went over – or one that is contrailing over the county.

One morning on 3 March at 06:20 I was out with my poodle and noticed right above my house this big very recent east to west chemtrail so I called up https://flightradar24.com on my computer and was able to determine this aircraft was an Omni Air flight (a/c number N447AX) from ALX to VVL (Shreveport to Victorville) with departure and total times listed. My question would have been why would this aircraft be spraying if it had passengers onboard? Perhaps Omni Air does spraying for the government? Don't know and cannot prove it.

The flightradar24 (there are other tracking programs) will sometimes show who is leaving a chemtrail but normally the identification is just shown as BLOCKED (in red) or tagged "No callsign." There are times when they do not show up at all on the radar24 screen – and of course this is by design.

Following the October 'sighting' of chemtrails I ran down a quote that convinced me the government is involved: "Another example is the array of technologies—often referred to collectively as **geoengineering**—that potentially could help reverse the warming effects of global climate change. One that has gained my personal attention is **stratospheric aerosol injection**, or SAI, a method of seeding the stratosphere with particles that can help reflect the sun's heat, in much the same way that volcanic eruptions do." [bold/highlight mine.]Posted: Jun 29, 2016 04:43 PM - CIA Director Brennan Speaks at the Council on Foreign Relations.

 $Retrieved\ from\ \underline{https://www.cia.gov/news-information/speeches-testimony/2016-speeches-testimony/director-brennan-speaks-at-the-council-on-foreign-relations.html$

History and References

Let me go back to the first and state again my position for this meeting and paper outline/draft:

- (1) I am not taking an anti-government stance in asking to see my congressman over an issue that is obviously giving me some heartburn,
- (2) My two attorneys have given me deliberative council on how best to seek some answers about chemtrails, so
- (3) I am an interested libertarian/Republican and a voting Texan resident seeking some answers over weather phenomena I see happening and nobody in the government will talk to me about it.

The argument for the climate change, the global change, or actually global warming is the temperature of our earth could be lowered if sulphide oxide were placed in the atmosphere to then reflect the sun rays. This operation is done by Stratospheric Aerosol Injection.

These injections are observed when one sees Chemtrails – but not always as they are spraying a lot of chemicals and some do not leave a trail..

References

Let me just mention this climate modification activity has been going a long time – since about 1947. Here is <u>a very short</u> list of government documents and papers that form the basis for the research in this paper/outline - listed by the year.

Newell, H. E. (1966). A Recommended National Program in Weather Modification. NASA, Washington, DC.

95th Congress. (May 1978). Weather Modification: Programs, Problems, Policy, and Potential. US-GPO (784 pp.).

United States Senate. (1978). Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation. US-GPO.

101st Congress. (Nov 1990). *Global Change Research Act of 1990*. US-GPO.

President G. Bush - Initiative. (1990). U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP). US-GPO.

United Nations: Sustainable Development. (June 1992). Agenda 21. Conference on Environment & Development. Rio.

Atmospheric Aerosols: What Are They, and Why are They so Important? (1996). NASA FS-1996-08-11-LaRC.

105th Congress. (Nov 1997). Public Law 105 - *National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998.* US-GPO.

LaPan, J. (2011). *Particles in upper atmosphere slow down global warming*. NASA Langley Research Center. Retrieved from https://climate.nasa.gov/news/556/particles-in-upper-atmosphere-slow-down-global-warming/

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2013). Climate Change 2013 – The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. [This world wide group of policymakers are the sum of the Climate Change. (See Inhofe's comments on IPCC – Chapter 6.)

http://www.climatechange2013.org/images/report/WG1AR5_ALL_FINAL.pdf [Prime cost \$90; A very large book.]

Bracmort, K., & Lattanzio, R. (Jan 2013). *Geoengineering: Governance and Technology Policy*. Congressional Research Service. CRS Report for Congress (R41371).

The White House. (Jun 2013). *The President's Climate Action Plan.* Executive Office of the President. Retrieved from https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/image/president27sclimateactionplan.pdf

Robock, Alan. (2014). Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering. The Royal Society of Chemistry, www.rsc.org

Inhofe, James. (2014). The Greatest Hoax: The Global Warming Conspiracy Threatens Your Future. WND Books.

Holdren, J. (2015). Our Changing Planet: The U.S. Global Change Research Program for Fiscal Year 2016 – A Supplement to the President's Budget. National Science Foundation Award No. NSFDCS13C1421.

U.S. Global Change Research Program. (2017). National Global Change Research Plan 2012-2021: Triennial Update. GPO.

[Other references on chemtrails are on file and if you are interested I would be glad to talk/share/discuss them with you. Each URL (or reference) has certain specific information on chemtrails, stratospheric aerosol injection, or pertinent data to support what is conceived as an ongoing operation.]

Note these references are mostly all government dot doc material, as I was advised by the office of my congressman, these were the only valid URLs to use. Of course, from my side of the argument this is not even half of the material that should be considered for discussion. However, these particular listings have something very specific to deal with. It goes with what this paper/outline is about and that is the visual existence of chemtrails. Note also this paper does not address any (hardly any) of the other components of climate modification or any of the 'global change' issues in the publication. I am only interested, at this time, in the phenomena of chemtrails.

Ontologically, or maybe Tautologically?

- 1. Who is responsible for the chemtrails?
- 2. Who are the people carrying out the spraying?
- 3. Who is paying for it?
- 4. How did it become a law, or better: Were we duly notified, and did we get to vote?
- 5. How can the Stratospheric Aerosol Injection be stopped?

Question 1. Who is responsible for the chemtrails?

Answer: <u>USGCRP</u> (or whoever is running USGCRP – the so-called "elites" or the "illuminati"?). It was formed by Congress in 1990 (see ref on 101st Congress). Every president has been on board with this program. The 13 agencies listed below are controlled by USGCRP. The problem is to determine (way beyond the scope of this report) who/what is below each of the departments, agencies, and programs. Of course, it goes on forever - there are thousands of people, bureaucrats, politicians, and government employees. I wonder how many levels there are from USGCRP to the bottom of say, EPA?

The chemtrails, aerosol injections, and spraying operations increased during the last administration and I would note most of the referenced material was in place before the 2016 election and do not, of course, reflect the changes now in progress, for instance, with Scott Pruitt as director of the EPA.

* See/review/scan the three references: *The White House, Our Changing Planet,* and U.S. *Global Change Research Program*.

Hopefully, sometime soon, President Trump will be able to drain some of the excess in these 'agencies of the swamp.'



Our Changing Planet (p. 71).

Question 2. Who are the people carrying out the spraying?

The arrow points to the United States Air Force providing the airplanes, crews, support/maintenance, and overall operation <u>in concert with</u> civilian contract companies like Omni-Air (already mentioned) and some of the supplemental carriers who have large equipment to carry the chemical delivery apparatus. * See http://www.nawcinc.com/
I have talked to people who have noticed the booms and spray rigs on Air Force aircraft – for what it is worth...

Question 3. Who is paying for it?

Of course, it is us taxpayers. Finding out in what budget(s) would be a monumental task as it probably is not showing up and for sure neither the donations from interested and profitable parties and companies will not be listed either.

An interested taxpayer could probably do the math on how many tons of chemicals are sprayed each year, the cost of the equipment to disperse same, and come up with the trillions of dollars being spent by the USGCRP and the contributing agencies AND their sub-agencies.

- * For a flavor of this stratospheric injection see Robock's article and his comment on sulfur dioxide (SO₂)
- "... starting in 2020, daily injections of a constant amount of SO_2 at a rate of 5 Tg SO_2 year..." (p. 170). [He uses estimates of billions of dollars per year. (p. 166) which is a good start.]
- "The H_2S would probably quickly oxidize to SO_2 and then convert to H_2SO_4 . One issue is that H_2S is rather nasty stuff, and even SO_2 can be dangerous..." (p. 165). [H_2S is hydrogen sulfide, and H_2SO_4 is Sulfuric acid.]

Question 4. How did it become a law, or better: Were we duly notified, and did we get to vote?

Short answers: 1997, yes, NO.

The laws are a lot like sitting in a big pot waiting for the water to boil. The logs keep coming, the fire gets hotter, the temperature gets higher and finally the blue sky has turned to a grey, cob web, white, wispy cloud - and we are done...

One can go/get as deep into this as you like. Try going back to 1996 (see the Newell report) where they were talking about "weather modification" "seeding clouds with materials as silver iodide crystals" "and administration and funding aspects of weather and climate modification" (p. 2),

The Global Change Research Act of 1990 caused the "creation of a limited foundation for global change research" (SEC. 203.(a)(4)(B). Then UN Agenda 21 wrote out the basic plan and when G.H.W. Bush signed on in Rio in 1992 we (they) were off and running.

In 1996 "The third type of aerosol comes from human activities... The concentration of human-made sulfate aerosols in the atmosphere has grown rapidly... The sulfate aerosols absorb no sunlight but they reflect it, thereby reducing the amount of sunlight reaching the Earth's surface. Sulfate aerosols are believed to survive in the atmosphere for about 3-5 days" (NASA, Atmospheric Aerosols, p. 3).

In 1997 Public Law 105 was enacted by congress with "This Act may be cited as the 'National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998.'"

[This bill has 450 pages...note how the "National Defense" hangs on. So, the next page is a short reading on what it means to us and the Chemtrails, AND some of the chemicals in the Chemtrails.]

PUBLIC LAW 105—85—NOV. 18, 1997 111 STAT. 1915

SEC. 1078, RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF HUMAN SUBJECTS FOR TESTING OF CHEMICAL OR BIOLOGICAL AGENTS.

- (a) PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary of Defense may not conduct (directly or by contract) (1) any test or experiment involving the use of a chemical agent or biological agent on a civilian population; or (2) any other testing of a chemical agent or biological agent on human subjects.
- (b) EXCEPTIONS.—Subject to subsections (c), (d), and (e), the prohibition in subsection (a) does not apply to a test or experiment carried out for any of the following purposes: (1) Any peaceful purpose that is related to a medical, therapeutic, pharmaceutical, agricultural, industrial, or research activity. (2) Any purpose that is directly related to protection against toxic chemicals or biological weapons and agents. (3) Any law enforcement purpose, including any purpose related to riot control.

[In other words: The U.S. government can test chemicals and biological agents on humans for nearly any purpose they desire.]

(c) **INFORMED CONSENT REQUIRED**.—The Secretary of Defense may conduct a test or experiment described in subsection (b) only if informed consent to the testing was obtained from each human subject in advance of the testing on that subject.

[In other words: Although section (c) seems to provide some protection for us in that it requires us to be notified "in advance" if this "testing" is to take place, in reality, it does not provide any protection at all. Why not?

Because you've already been "informed in advance" and you've already given your "consent".

Because this "law" is publicly available for everyone to read, you have been "informed". Because you have not contested it (that's what the courts are for), you have provided your "consent".]

(e) **BIOLOGICAL AGENT DEFINED**.—In this section, the term "biological agent" means any micro-organism (including bacteria, viruses, fungi, rickettsiac, or protozoa), pathogen, or infectious substance, and any naturally occurring, **bioengineered, or synthesized** component of any such micro-organism, pathogen, or infectious substance, whatever its origin or method of production, that is capable of causing— (1) **death**, disease, or other **biological malfunction** in a human, an animal, a plant, or another living organism; (2) deterioration of food, water, equipment, supplies, or materials of any kind; or (3) **deleterious alteration of the environment.**

[In other words: The U.S. government can test chemicals and biological agents on humans that cause death, biological malfunction, and deleterious alteration of the environment. The term "deleterious alteration of the environment" brings chemtrails to mind.]

[Notes, in part, from: http://globalskywatch.com/chemtrails/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=45#Post45]

Question 5. How can the Stratospheric Aerosol Injection be stopped?

Short answer is cutting off the money and decreeing the operation unlawful. Right now President Trump is the only one in the world that can do this...but we can help by making the danger known to everybody.

Philosophically

What can we say to our congressman - assuming we can get an audience with him?

First of all, given the sanitized 'last administration' literature from the EPA and contributing agencies, my congressman would probably not be convinced Chemtrails were real in spite of the pictures in front of my house. He will always come back and say if it is not dot gov material then it won't be looked at or evaluated even though more than 83.5% of this material is published by the government (USGCRP) or one of its agencies. How any of this would stand up in court would be a guess but I still cannot prove Chemtrails. "Look! Right there! There's One!" seemingly, will not work.

Secondly, he wouldn't tell me if he did knew. All the spraying is being done under the law in the "National Defense Act of 1998" and he can't tell me or he would have to kill me. They are <u>all</u> that way. They have all spent time drinking the Kool-Aid and now they cannot talk about it. This includes the many thousands of government employees and supporting agencies and departments to include the pilots flying the airplanes and the ground crews loading the chemicals.

The problem with this is they now work for the so-called "elites" or the "illuminati" (or whoever is in charge) and the real problem with this is they no longer work with their hand up saying the will abide by the Constitution. This is the criminal part I don't like – again, the law says they are working for the government and – like the pilots – "just doing their job." Those lower echelon people are in a real bind as some of them do not like what they are doing and when going forward with the complaint they are told to "Do your f – ing job, and shut your mouth" – or you'll get 120 days in the brig, solitary confinement, or peeling potatoes – or whatever...(wonder how this sentiment is given to a standing Senator of the United States?)

Third, he wouldn't tell me because he doesn't want me to leave and then call the newspaper, the TV station, or email someone that could come back on him and say something critical.

Fourth, if you notice the direction of the USGCRP Strategic Plans (money going to the Dept of State and IPCC) many, many words are put out to save the planet from everything – some of which is good – but this paper <u>is JUST to focus on the Chemtrails</u> because we can see these; noticing by the way, we will start smelling, breathing them with effect as it goes along. The Plans, more in the past eight years, have been toward socializing the world, the One World, The Green World, and most folks have bought into it – sure sorry they missed Cap and Trade (his carbon tax)...this means it is deep. Real deep. And we may not ever be able to get through it all. We just may not save the planet.

Fifth, is money. An argument can be made that this is the real reason and yes it may be true but this would be more difficult to prove than any of the issues. Unless, like I have said, the money is cut off.

Sixth, is to spend some time with a congressman (and anybody you can) by pointing out what they are spraying. See Robock's article on page 181 (Table 2) where he shows five benefits and 26 risks of stratospheric geoengineering. Number seven risk is "Environmental impact of implementation." To me this means the chemicals being sprayed. Note there is one URL "Chemtrails Fully Explained!" by a guy under the name Arch Angel X, who for at least the first few minutes really puts Chemtrails out to see. He cites these chemicals used in "Cloud Seeding": "Aluminum Oxide, Thorium Oxide, Silver Iodide, liquid propane, Carbon Dioxide, Ammonia Nitrate, Urea, Welsback materials, Refractory materials, Industrial Particles, dry ice, salts." Here is the info on patents: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6HBh2xUH6Pl

So, in your research, what are the effects of these chemicals on our environment? Note the chemicals vary but are being advertised as sulfur, strontium, barium, nano aluminum, cadmium, and nickel— to name a few floating around on the WWW (This is really an ugly part of the research as some of the stuff touted will make us all very sick.)

To appreciate the sentence "Anthropogenically enhanced sulfate particle concentrations thus cool the planet...However, this fortunate coincidence is 'bought' at a substantial price" (p. 211) an interested reader should scan P. J. Crutzen's (2006) article Albedo Enhancement by Stratospheric Sulfur Injections: A Contribution to Resolve a Policy Dilemma? See: http://faculty.washington.edu/stevehar/Geoengineering packet.pdf

"God given clouds – don't have fallout."

Curtain Call

My congressman and I are/will be at an impasse. He won't tell me yes, no, or maybe. He is a politician.

So, I will be left to stand outside and shake my fist at the Chemtrails, swear at the guys flying the planes, and spout at the government et al., for letting it happen.

Here is the scene - it may be yours too: We are standing in the yard looking up at Chemtrails being formed into crosses and circles to be put into their tit-tat-toe 40,000 foot landscape.

How can they do this? Answer: They made the law under The Nation Defense Act in 1990.

But, it is raining down on our heads! Yes, and they have the right to test biological agents for their benefit.

But, these chemicals could be dangerous to our health. Yes, and they can kill you according to PL 105-85 dated 1997. It is called "deleterious alteration of the environment."

But, <u>we didn't even get to vote on this!</u> No, we were "informed in advance." "Because you have not contested it (that's what the courts are for), you have provided your "consent." Pause.

I would still have systemic heartburn if they were spraying Ozarka 100% Natural Spring Water! The government can't take all these airplanes and spray chemicals 24/7 over our country for ANY reason — especially for one they have no intention of ever telling us what they are doing! [This is bar talk with beseeching, bemoaning, and bewilderment.] This makes me feel somewhere between Charlton Heston in the movie *Soylent Green* where he is being taken away saying "We have to stop them!" and Gregory Peck, as Ahab in *Moby Dick*, standing on the whale boat with his harpoon raised high poised to chunk it at the 'the great white whale.'

I will make a deal with my congressman. I want him to promise me something. There will come a time soon, when our President will sign an Executive Order cancelling the stratospheric injections and the Chemtrails will go away. My congressman's job is get me either a picture of the Order, or the whole framed order itself (with pen), and then I will celebrate. This is the signature I am looking for:





Existentially?